

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/291,358		04/14/1999	KENJI MASAKI	325772200960 2014		
25227	7590	01/16/2003				
		ERSTER LLP	EXAMINER			
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300				BHATNAGAR, ANAND P		
MCLEAN, Y	VA 2210	2		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2623		
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
Advisory Action	09/291,358	MASAKI, KENJI	•				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
· ·	Anand Bhatnagar	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se	ee MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require furthe		(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	:ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: For	r reconsideration has been cons r the same reason as the note belo	sidered but does NO <sup>-</sup> <u>ow</u> .	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is			ner.				
<ol><li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer</li></ol>	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. ☐ Other:							
		•					
Patent and Trademode Office							





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended1,8, and 15 with to include four criteria (quality of sunset scene, color image covered with a specific color, contrast, and sharpness of the whole area) to judge if an image needs color correction where in the original claim limitations only one of these criteria was needed..

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER